

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/748,642 | 12/22/2000 | Thomas B. Albrecht | 026.00041 | 4973 |
| 7590 06/20/2002 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Braman & Rogalskyj, LLP P.O. Box 352 | | | LACOURCIERE, KAREN A | |
| Canandaigua, NY 14424-0352 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | • | 1635 | 5 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/20/2002 | \vee |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|---|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/748,642 | ALBRECHT ET AL. | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | | Karen Lacourciere | 1635 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | |
| Period for Reply A CHARTENED STATISTORY DEPIOD FOR BERLY IS SET TO EXPIDE 4 MONTH/S) FROM | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | Decreasing to accompanies tion (a) filed an | | | | |
| 1)[| Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | |
| 2a)□ | ,— | s action is non-final. | cassaution as to the morite is | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | |
| , — | Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or e on Papers | election requirement. | | | |
| · · · | The specification is objected to by the Examiner | • | | | |
| • | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep | | miner | | |
| , | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | • | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| 14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 2) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ | 5) Notice of Informal I | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | |

FILECOPY

Application/Control Number: 09/748,642

Art Unit: 1635

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4, 6, 9-12, 14, 17 and 18, drawn to a method of decreasing viral replication in cells by inhibiting the expression of a cellular protease, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- II. Claims 1, 5-9 and 13-18, drawn to a method of decreasing viral replication in cells by inhibiting the activity of a cellular protease, classified in class 514, subclass 2.

Claims 1, 6, 9, 14, 17 and 18 are generic to Group I and II. Claims 1, 6, 9, 14, 17 and 18 will be examined to the extent that they read on the elected invention.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to methods which are not capable of use together and have different modes of operation and materially different method steps. For example, the methods of Group I operate by inhibiting the expression of a cellular protease, and utilize nucleic acid based inhibitors, which is different than the

Application/Control Number: 09/748,642

Art Unit: 1635

methods of Group II, which operate by inhibiting the activity of a cellular protease, and utilize peptide based inhibitors.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen A. Lacourciere whose telephone number is (703) 308-7523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/748,642

Art Unit: 1635

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John LeGuyader can be reached on (703) 308-0447. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 305-1935 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Karen A. Lacourciere PATENT EXAMINER

June 19, 2002